

HEMPFIELD

— TOWNSHIP —

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MONTHLY PUBLIC MEETING

FEBRUARY 28, 2022 | 7:00 pm

Supervisors Present: Mr. George Reese
Mr. R. Douglas Weimer

Mr. Bill Bretz
Mr. John Silvis

Staff Present: Mr. Jason Winters
Mr. Dan Schmitt
Mr. Doug Cisco
Mr. Anthony Kovacic
Mrs. Callie Krueger

Mr. Scott Avolio
Mr. James Shaw
Mr. Lloyd Fait
Mr. Patrick Karnash

A. CALL TO ORDER & PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The regularly scheduled monthly meeting of the Hempfield Township Board of Supervisors, held at the Hempfield Township Municipal Building, was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Chairman, George Reese.

B. HEARINGS

1. Cherry Creek Zoning Classification Change: Agricultural (A) to Suburban Residential (SR)

Mr. Reese turned the meeting over to Mr. Avolio for the Hearing for the Cherry Creek Zoning Classification Change.

Mr. Scott Avolio – Thank you Mr. Chairman Reese. Tonight, we do have an application for zoning for Cherry Creek it's in Agriculture Zoned area asking to be rezoned Suburban Residential. Just a little bit of the ground rules the format. I've asked the applicant to be prepared to put on this presentation. If anybody is represented as an objector by council id ask that council makes themselves known. If it's individuals after the applicant runs through their initial presentation, I will then call the objectors as they've signed in. State your name and address for the record, purpose of your objection and if you have questions for the applicant at that point you may pose a question after you state your objection. At that time if there are no additional response or rebuttal by the applicant, I will then make a statement that the record be closed. Before I call the applicant, I'm going to ask Mr. Karnash to state his name and read into the record the dates in which the property was posted and mailed and to indicate that the legal advertisement was posted in the Trib two times prior to tonight's hearing.

Mr. Patrick Karnash – My name is Patrick Karnash, I'm the Director of Planning and Zoning for Hempfield Township. The property was physically posted on January 25th of 2022 and notices were mailed to adjacent property owners on January 25th of 2022 as well as the posting being advertised in the Tribune Review on two occasions.

Mr. Avolio – Thank you Mr. Karnash. Is the applicant present? Thank you, come forward and state your name please. This is a hearing so I'm going to swear you in. Anybody else including objectors who came to provide testimony tonight, can you stand and raise your right hand as well? Thank you all. Do you swear to tell the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

All: I do.

Mr. Avolio – Thank you very much, everyone has been sworn in. You may begin the presentation we requested.

Mr. Greg Hillard – Hello my name is Greg Hillard I work for NVR Ryan Homes. Ryan Homes would be the builder of this parcel so I'm here representing the property owner who couldn't make it tonight and the developer of this parcel who couldn't make it as well and I'm here representing the builder. What we are asking for is a rezone of the Cherry Creek Golf Course from an Agricultural to a Suburban Residential zoning district. We met with the Planning Commission in January I believe and talked a little about it and got their approval. As you can see on the board here the suburban residential is the blue color and Cherry Creek is in the white kind of color area. It's really not a spot zone there is suburban residential close by to this Cherry Creek Plan. We did submit with this application a concept plan right now it's laid out for a suburban residential. It's roughly 230 lots obviously that has to go through the (...) if it does get approved tonight it has to go through the full land development process. We just wanted to show you kind of what our thoughts were with the plan and how many lots. They are laid out for 70 foot lot widths we do have single family detached, we do have duplex lots as well. We see this community as more of like a move down buyer situation where it's kind of needed in this community from our research. We are not going to age discriminate but it's more for the senior living housing first floor masters. Some amenities will be the club house is going to remain in the community we are going to keep some of the existing ponds for walking trails but that's further down the road if we get to that point. Is there anything else you want to add? We are just here to ask for the rezone we can answer any questions from the board and the audience as well.

Mr. Scott Avolio – thank you very much. At this point I'm going to ask the objectors to come up. If they do have questions at the end of their objection I'd ask them to pose the question. Do we have a sign in sheet? If not, I'm going to begin from left. I'm going to start on the left side. Anybody who is here to object tonight on the left side, furthest left, would you please come to the podium.

Mr. Brian Forejt – Can we ask a question and objection too or questions regarding it?

Mr. Avolio – yeah, you'd have to state – you may ask questions to the applicant as well if you have no objections. We ask that you place those objection statements first prior to the questions. State your name and address first.

Mr. Forejt – Good evening. My name is Brian Forejt from 734 Muirfield Drive. I don't have an objection per say I want to understand the roadway plans because this is the first I've seen this

Mr. Avolio – I do want to say to the whole crowd and this might relieve some of the questions. We are here for the purpose of a rezone as a land mass as they described. They have proposed concepts those concepts are not binding and they'll have to come through for an entire subdivision plan layout site the whole process. Each time you'll have an opportunity to show up at planning and object the roadway or if you have safety concerns. As well as the Township itself (...). Tonight is really about asking for the land mass that exists currently as agricultural to be rezoned suburban residential. As he stated they are helping by saying the concept but I don't want there to be any confusion. That concept of change is not binding.

Mr. Brian Forejt – right I understand I was just looking to understand the intention.

Mr. Hillard – So right now we have a road that's coming off of Spyglass Hill that kind of loops through the plan and we do have another connection on Simpson Road in the back that kind of gets connectivity with the existing roads on the plan as you can see here. Obviously like he said this isn't binding this is just our first initial concept plan to get to this point here for a rezone and then once if this does get passed tonight then we are going to do a full submission to the Township. Work with the Township engineer and address any comments that the Township may have at that point. So this is just an initial (...)

Mr. Forejt – there are several buildings one of which is a maintenance shed across from my property. do you have any plans for the shed?

Mr. Hillard – Where would the maintenance shed be because I don't know where that is. I believe right now it's going to stay. To my knowledge it's going to stay but again that's going to happen later down the road.

Mr. Forejt – I have no other questions.

Mr. Avolio – Thank you very much. Anybody else wants to ask questions or make comment as an objector?

Mr. Philip Sleber – Hi my name is Philip Sleber and I live at 234 Simpson Road. My question is, I guess my objection is about the traffic and the number of houses being put in. You said about access to Simpson Road which I live on and its barely wide enough for two vehicles and I don't see why you would want to increase traffic there when I can't keep a mailbox more than 2 years because of how people drive on it. It's not a painted road with a line down the center there are no side areas, there's a collapsing culvert going under the road yet we are building more houses when we already had a development that went in that's going in at Valley Green and one also at the former whatever it is it's back behind the old school house on Armbrust Road. We just had two developments put in so I'm just questioning the amount of traffic and the number of people and how that's going to affect the area.

Mr. Avolio – Thank you. You can address him when the plan is here for a resubdivision.

Mr. Hillard – This question will be answered after this evening if the rezone happens.

Mr. Avolio – Anybody else have an objection?

Mr. Dave Graff – Dave Graff 746 Muirfield Drive. Can I, do you mind if I take a picture of both sides of this so I can have it in reference for future?

Mr. Hillard – Yes I believe that's fine

Inaudible discussion

Mr. Graff – So I understand where Simpson Road is up here and then an entrance

Mr. Avolio – Can you come toward the mic sir?

Mr. Graff – an entrance off of Spyglass which is a road that we use for Cherry Creek HOA right here.

Mr. Reese – Sir, can you go to the mic so we can hear you?

Mr. Graff – So you're going to use this Spyglass Road which is the entrance to the original Cherry Creek HOA now have you done any research into how that affects the original plans of Cherry Creek utilizing, I know that's a Township road but it's also a road that was used to put in for the planning of Cherry Creek 1 and Cherry Creek 2 and with that do you are you going to follow the HOA rules of Cherry Creek with this planned community or are you going to have a separate HOA?

Mr. Hillard – I can answer that. We did some initial research with the HOA of that development there. From our initial research the HOA docs that were enforced that Cherry Creek would not apply to those parcels that we are looking to rezone. We are going to dig into that more if this rezone happens but if it doesn't then. From our initial research we should be okay this would have a separate HOA in place and it wouldn't affect the Cherry Creek that's up there today.

Mr. Graff – okay so I guess we will both follow up on that and see make sure that's all good. Because if we are maintaining anything or there is usage required from our HOA and then folks using the same thing then we are going to have some overlap somehow.

Mr. Hillard – correct, from our perspective there is going to be a brand new HOA that your HOA will have nothing to do with.

Mr. Graff – and then as far as the homes I see on the other side you said there are I don't know what the term you used a move down type home. What does that mean?

Mr. Hillard – a move down type home is just like a first floor master so the master bedroom is on the first floor so you don't have to go up steps to use the master bedroom but there will be bedrooms on the upstairs we just call them like an empty nester home

Mr. Graff – it looks like they're all going to be like sharing walls to each other

Mr. Hillard – no these are all just single family detached lots. We do have some duplex lots that will share a similar wall but the rest the majority of the plan is all single family detached homes.

Mr. Graff – and as you can imagine as far as a Cherry Creek homeowner and other folks that are here I mean the concern is going to be how is that going to affect the value of our homes. Is this going to increase or decrease the value that's what we are here to determine right and so with that I mean if you're going to keep some of the existing ponds and you said something about some walking trails is that a plan you're going to have walking trails near the clubhouse that's existing you said you're going to keep that clubhouse is he going to plan on reopening that clubhouse and then will the original cherry creek people be able to use those trails also?

Mr. Hillard – I think ultimately yes but that's something that will have to get vetted out. I can't give you an answer no like we said we are just here for the rezone. All of these conversations we can have if this rezone goes through and I can give you my card and we can talk about that.

Mr. Graff – hopefully it will be a better community for all

Mr. Hillard – that's the plan

Mr. Graff – that's all I have.

Mr. Avolio – anyone else here like to say anything? Approach the mic, state your name.

Mrs. Debra Landry – My name is Debra Landry and I live at 1403 Spyglass Hill. My question is what is suburban residential from the zoning aspect? What all does that cover?

Mr. Avolio – well I'm going to direct that over to our planning director

Mrs. Landry – I mean you're asking for a rezoning to suburban you know residential does that mean they can change their mind on what they're proposing and put apartments in or does it have to be single family homes

Mr. Karnash – Suburban Residential is a zoning classification designed primarily for residences. So we in suburban residential can allow single family traditional single family, duplexes, triplexes, and quads.

Mrs. Landry – Can they become apartment homes if they changed their mind and wanted to do something different?

Mr. Karnash – in theory yes it could and that would all be vetted out in the subdivision and site development plan

Mrs. Landry – that's the thing you've already rezoned it and now they decide that's not going to work for what they want to do and they could sell it and someone else could come in do something differently

Mr. Karnash – correct but again but the plan that would be put forth to the planning commission which you would be allowed to attend as well. Once that's there that plan is binding unless it goes back through.

Mrs. Landry – I understand but once you rezone it you know if something happened and these gentlemen (...) or whatever it may be and had to turn it over and sell it to someone else. What they're proposing could change?

Mr. Karnash – yes ma'am

Mrs. Landry – I wanted clarification because everyone is hung up on where the street is going to be and that's not what this meeting is about this meeting is about rezoning

Mr. Karnash – yes ma'am

Mr. Avolio – Sir.

Mr. Dominic Rossetti – My name is Dominic Rossetti I live at 108 Gleneagles drive. A couple things come to mind here listening to this first of all I realize we are here just to see whether or not it's going to change agriculture to this residential my question is to some of these people that are here against it what are we using it for now it's not agriculture. It has been used for a golf course for many years and my understanding is that another golf course that closed in the township I don't see anybody coming forward to buy the place as a golf course so sitting there as agricultural is not helping anybody as far as the Township is concerned in bringing in more taxes as far as maybe making the area a little bit nicer. There was a question asked is this going to improve my value of my home or is it going to go down. Well if there's more people coming in and anyhow my primary question is this I don't know if you guys thought about this or not our place where we live there's a creek that goes behind our place which runs underneath the old driving range which is a completely separate part than this I called the township a few years ago about a concern about a pipe that goes under the old driving range they couldn't tell me anything because it was on private land etcetera the water runs into part of Mark Allison's other property and then from there my understanding is it goes underneath the road and goes into the pond which is on this particular map. What happens when you guys take over can you shut that water off running into that creek? What is the law concerning that water because it will affect us if that water no longer goes into that creek? It does not go into the township right now it is a private lake

Mr. Avolio – the only thing I'd say to that sir is that we are here for a rezoning tonight and that is not really relevant to changing the zoning from Agricultural to Suburban Residential it's really not relevant to this board's decision. I don't know what their plans would be but when a plan would be submitted as we discussed with subdivision all those issues including stormwater management there would be an opportunity to vet that its just out of place right now on a rezoning

Mr. Rossetti – I know I'm just asking a question. I understand but what are we here for then?

Mr. Avolio – Rezoning a property

Mr. Rossetti – Okay I understand. Thank you for your time

Mr. Avolio – Okay anyone else?

Mr. Graff – I just have one quick follow-up

Mr. Avolio – Sure

Mr. Graff – Once again, Dave Graff. If this gets rezoned and this is conceptual but can you run a road that goes through other parts of Cherry Creek as far as like for instance right here in this area here, can you connect into one of these streets you have entered or exit?

Mr. Hillard – Possibly can't say for sure yes or no. I don't know what that road is or if there is a right of way right up to our property line, there is a public right of way potentially but if there's not, we would have to discuss purchasing land from whoever owns that

Mr. Graff – Okay because if you tie into that road that road that entrance way and all that stuff is paid for and maintained by the homeowner's association there so we are going to have an issue at that point I guess

Mr. Hillard – like I said that will get vetted out if this happens then all this will get vetted out here in the next three four five months. We are at step one

Mr. Graff – so it's my understanding if that happens then when they come up with the actual design, we all get to come back and say thumbs up or thumbs down this is what we like or don't like is that correct? Okay. Thank you all.

Mr. Jon Loucks – Hello my name is Jon Loucks I live at 184 Simpson Road and I'm against this all together. My property runs right up to it and I didn't know about putting a road out onto Simpson Road. And the sewage line where that map is the sewer line only comes up halfway on where that property is. So what are they going to do with the rest of it? And what are they going to do with the big gas line that runs down through there and there's a gas well on top of that one hill up there. And I'm worried about I used to have sheep over on that property is that going to affect me or not because if it is I'm going to raise hogs. I'd rather see it grow up weeds than grow up in houses. I lived there 70 years and I live out in the country I want to be in the country I don't want no houses all around me so. And you got valley green out there you're getting more houses out there and there's a new housing development going down at the other end of the township down below New Stanton on the Mcgin (?) farm why do we need more houses here its like they want to put houses here this guy made a bad investment and now we are supposed to bail him out so he gets all this money. That's ridiculous I wanted to come to my objection and you can't put a road out onto Simpson it's too narrow there and if you come out of Simpson onto Whites Hill they have all these houses coming down Armbrust Road 'll ever get out onto Whites Hill. So that's all I have to say I'm against it

Mr. Avolio – thank you sir, anybody else? Does the applicant need to address anything? Then I would recommend to the Chairman that we close the hearing

Mr. John Silvis – I have a question, George.

Mr. George Reese – Question, Mr. Silvis?

Mr. Silvis – Ryan Homes, that's the original developer?

Mr. Hillard – What do you mean by original?

Mr. Silvis – Well the golf course and the houses were you the original?

Mr. Hillard – No we didn't do anything with the golf course we got - Mark Alison reached out to us about potentially wanting to develop it and kind of walk through

Mr. Silvis – So you're not same company that built the original houses?

Mr. Hillard – No we did not build the original houses.

Mr. Silvis – I don't know if anybody can answer my question.

Speaking from audience

Mr. Karnash – Cherry Creek was a bunch of different developers, different builders rather.

Inaudible speaking from audience

Mr. Avolio – We are kind of losing track of the hearing here. Mr. Silvis if they don't know I would recommend going to Mr. Weimer

Mr. Silvis – its not the same company is the answer

Mr. Doug Weimer – There was mention of the use of the current clubhouse. I just wanted to know what the zone change what the impact of the zone change was for that clubhouse usage

Mr. Karnash – At this point that was first that I had heard of this honestly of the use of the clubhouse and that's something we would discuss further as of right now the use as a restaurant as it once existed is not a permissible use for Suburban Residential

Mr. Avolio – Again those matters would be vetted out in the planning that they would have to put together including covenants and some of the other items that were raised by some of the objectors would have to go through that process.

Mr. Weimer – and just I thought maybe we could have some clarity of the density of homes that are permitted in agriculture as its zoned currently

Mr. Karnash – currently in agricultural zoned areas you can have one home per 30,000 square feet so it's a 30,000 square foot minimum for a lot as opposed to suburban residential which is 10,000 square feet.

Mr. Weimer – okay so there would be a difference in the amount of homes that could be built there currently but you can build homes in the agriculturally zoned area

Mr. Karnash – absolutely. Agriculture can be used for a variety of uses including single family homes

Mr. Weimer – would there be... is it ... are you able to put duplexes in agriculture?

Mr. Karnash – you are able to put yes a duplex on there but no tris or quads. And I'm sorry, just to further explain that. The lot size for a duplex within agricultural zoning district decreases. I don't know that number off the top of my head but it does decrease from 30,000

Mr. Weimer – okay but you could put a duplex on 10,000 on suburban residential and you don't know if it's close to that in the ag.

Mr. Karnash – I do not sir.

Mr. Weimer – somewhere in between the 30 and the 10

Mr. Karnash – yes

Mr. Weimer – okay

Mr. Reese – Okay. Mr. Bretz?

Mr. Bill Bretz – No questions

Mr. Reese – I have no questions. Mr. Avolio, anything else?

Mr. Avolio – no, I would just indicate that the record is closed on this hearing and if you'll look consideration by the board is item J

Mr. Reese – I need a motion to adjourn

Mr. Avolio – no, just close it.

Mr. Reese – Thank you all.

C. CITIZENS COMMENTS (AS PER RESOLUTION #2012-24)

1. Al Ciarimboli – 121 Possum Hollow Road – Mr. Ciarimboli asked the Township to cut down an old tree on his property that is near the roadway. He would also like the Township to build an observatory to study the moon.
2. Jessie Zemba – 109 Alexander Avenue – Mrs. Zemba spoke on behalf of the West Point Station and requested to know the status of the station after their probationary period. She stated they met the requirements set forth by the Township.
3. Ray Keller – 1172 Swede Hill Road – Mr. Keller stated that the Township installed a pipe six feet onto his property from Swede Hill Park to drain water and it is flooding his house. He would like this issue to be fixed.

D. EXECUTIVE SESSIONS

1. **January 24, 2022 – Personnel & Legal**
2. **February 5, 2022 – Personnel & Legal**
3. **February 23, 2022 – Personnel & Legal**

Mr. Reese stated that Executive Sessions were held on the above listed dates.

E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. **Consideration to Approve the Minutes from the January 24, 2022 Hempfield Township Board of Supervisors Monthly Meeting**

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve the minutes from the January 24, 2022 Hempfield Township Board of Supervisors Monthly Meeting. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

F. STAFF REPORTS – (WRITTEN REPORTS DISTRIBUTED PRIOR TO MEETING)

Mr. Jason Winters, Township Manager – A joint meeting with the Hempfield School Board will be held on March 2, 2022 at 7 pm.

Mr. Patrick Karnash, Director of Planning & Zoning – A kick-off meeting was held with HRG to begin the process of the Township's Comprehensive Plan. HRG will be meeting with each department individually as well as with the Supervisors to discuss the plan to move forward.

Mr. Anthony Kovacic, Township Fire Chief – Mr. Kovacic and Mr. Sean Hearn met with Joe Mindala at Westmoreland Mall to discuss the start of commercial fire inspections. Mr. Weimer asked Mr. Kovacic several questions regarding the status of the West Point station and Mr. Kovacic believes the Township should continue to monitor the situation and reassess.

Mr. Doug Cisco, Director of Public Works – Mr. Cisco reported underdrain installation on Shuey Lane and catch basin repairs in the Wendover area. The public works department has been dealing with flooding issues and a lot of trees down from high winds. A shared project with Youngwood was discussed for a right-of-way brushing on Racetrack Road.

Mr. Lloyd Fait, Director of Finance – Year to date for revenues is 2.2 million dollars. The real estate tax transfer was received and another installment from the sale of HTMA was received.

Mr. James Shaw, Director of Code & Safety – Mr. Shaw gave an update on the SAFER Grant stating that the fire departments in Westmoreland County was up 453 firefighters, a 22% increase. The last request for the SAFER Grant has been submitted and all of the funds have been utilized.

Mr. Dan Schmitt, Township Engineer – DEP is moving toward submitting applications online. Staff will be required to handle the submission unless approval is given to the third parties to handle submitting applications. Mr. Scott Avolio, Township Solicitor – Rezoning is purely legislative

G. TREASURER'S REPORT

The Treasurer's Report was given on Wednesday February 23, 2022 and there was nothing additional to add to the report.

H. PAYMENT OF BILLS

1. **Consideration to Approve the following Payment of Bills**
 - a. **Fund 01 – General Fund - \$696,564.48**
 - b. **Fund 02 – Light District Fund - \$4,853.42**
 - c. **Fund 18 – Capital Fund - \$49,512.00**
 - d. **Fund 22 – Act 13 Fund - \$29,263.20**
 - e. **Fund 93 – ARPA Fund - \$118,323.67**
 - f. **Fund 97 – Deferred Comp Fund - \$16,344.72**

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve the payment of bills as listed. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

I. SCHEDULING OF HEARINGS – *NONE*

J. DECISIONS FROM HEARINGS

1. **Consideration to Approve Ordinance No. 2022-01; Cherry Creek Zoning Classification Change: Agricultural (A) to Suburban Residential (SR) for Certain Properties Situate within the Township of Hempfield**

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Ordinance No. 2022-01. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

K. SUBDIVISION PLANS

1. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-40; Granting Final Conditional Approval for the Carl Miller Plan of Lots #4 Subdivision – Plan No. 20210782**

- **Location: intersection of Albrights Lake Rd. and Armbrust – Brinkerton Rd.**
- **Purpose: three new buildable lots for the purpose of single-family dwellings**
- **Conditions: MAWC/DEP approval**
- **Planning Commission Recommendation: 6-0**

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-40. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

2. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-41; Granting Final Conditional Approval for the Darren & Jill Dorn Subdivision – Plan No. 20210790**
 - **Location:** Alfery Lane
 - **Purpose:** create one new buildable lot
 - **Conditions:** SEO/DEP Approval
 - **Planning Commission Recommendation:** 6-0

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-41. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

L. SITE PLANS – *NONE*

M. ITEMS FOR ACTION TO BE TAKEN

1. **Consideration to Approve Ordinance No. 2022-02; Authorizing the Changes to the Township Municipal Code to Reflect the Adoption of the 2018 International Property Maintenance Code & 2018 Uniform Construction Code**

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Ordinance No. 2022-02. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

2. **Consideration to Approve Ordinance No. 2022-03; Authorizing the Changes to Chapter 47 of the Township Municipal Code**

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Weimer to approve Ordinance No. 2022-03. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

3. **Consideration to Approve Ordinance No. 2022-04; Authorizing the Placement of a Three Way Stop Sign at the Corner of Forbes Trail Road and Old Route 66**

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Ordinance No. 2022-04. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

4. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-42; Approving the Sewage Facilities Planning Module for Meadowlane Heights Phase 2 – Tax Map No. 50-16-02-0-011**

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Weimer to approve Resolution No. 2022-42. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

5. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-43; Approving Sewage Facilities Planning Module for Hidalgo Holding Tank – Tax Map No. 50-10-00-0-002**

Mr. Bretz made a motion seconded by Mr. Weimer to approve Resolution No. 2022-43. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

6. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-44; Approving Sewage Facilities Planning Module for AL Neyer Prospective Building (WCIDC) – Tax Map No. 50-41-00-0-169**

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-44. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

7. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-45; Approving Sewage Facilities Planning Module for Darren & Jill Dorn Subdivision – Tax Map No. 50-32-00-0-019**

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-45. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

8. **Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-46; Approving Sewage Facilities Planning Module for Shrader Hollow Road, LLC – Tax Map No. 50-31-00-0-119**

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Resolution No. 2022-46. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

- 9. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-47; Approving Sewage Facilities Planning Module for Smail Ford/Lincoln – Tax Map No. 50-22-04-0-013, 018, & 099**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-47. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 10. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-48; Approving Sewage Facilities Planning Module for the Carl Miller Plan – Tax Map No. 50-38-00-0-237**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Resolution No. 2022-48. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 11. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-49; Approving the Release of Financial Security for Sheetz – South Greensburg Plan No. 20200705**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-49. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 12. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-50; Approving the Release of Financial Security for Odin View Apartments Site Plan No. 20180406**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-50. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 13. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-51; Approving the Reduction of the Financial Security for Clover Communities Hempfield LLC – Senior Housing Site Plan No. 20180058**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Resolution No. 2022-51. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 14. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-52; Approving the Release of Financial Security for Trumco Forest Products, LLC – Weight Limit Permit No. 20210614**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-52. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 15. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-53; Authorizing the Execution of a Contract with Cintas for Uniforms and Mats and Authorize the Township Manager to Sign All Needed Documents**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Resolution No. 2022-53. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 16. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-54; Adopting the Bank Account Balance Policy**
Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-54. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 17. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-55; Authorizing the Submission of the 2022 LSA Grant Application – North Hempfield Fire Station Improvement Project**
Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Weimer to approve Resolution No. 2022-55. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 18. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-56; Authorizing the Submission of the DCNR Grant Application – Hempfield Park Phase III Rehabilitation**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-56. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

- 19. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-57; Authorizing the Execution of a Payment in Lieu of Taxation (PILOT) Agreement with Latrobe Area Hospital, Inc. – Tax Map No. 50-16-00-0-199**
Mr. Bretz made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Resolution No. 2022-57. Vote: 3-1 (Weimer). Motion carried.
- 20. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-58; Appointing Aaron Siko as Assistant Township Manager with an Annual \$5,000.00 Stipend**
Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Resolution No. 2022-58. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 21. Consideration to Approve Resolution No. 2022-59; Authorizing the Execution of an Application for a PennDot Highway Occupancy Permit for Tommy's Car Wash Route 30**
Mr. Bretz made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve Resolution No. 2022-59. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 22. Consideration to Authorize the Allotment of \$30,000.00 to the Greensburg Hempfield Areas Library**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to authorize the allotment of \$30,000.00 to the Greensburg Hempfield Areas Library. Vote: 3-0-1 abstain (Bretz). Motion carried
- 23. Consideration to Authorize the Township Manager to Advertise an RFP for a Government Affairs Professional**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to authorize the Township Manager to Advertise an RFP for a Government Affairs Professional. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 24. Consideration to Authorize the Township Manager to Advertise for the Position of Code Enforcement Officer**
Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Weimer to authorize the Township Manager to Advertise for the Position of Code Enforcement Officer. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 25. Consideration to Approve the Hire of the Parks & Recreation Part-Time & Seasonal Employees as Listed on Exhibit "A"**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Reese to approve the Hire of the Parks & Recreation Part-Time Seasonal Employees as Listed on Exhibit "A". Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 26. Consideration to Authorize the Township Manager to Advertise the Bid for Mazerowski Field and Lower Concession Stand Improvement Project**
Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to authorize the Township Manager to advertise the Bid for Mazerowski Field and Lower Concession Stand Improvement Project. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.
- 27. Consideration to Authorize the Township Manager to Advertise the Bid for the 2022 Asphalt (Supply Only)**
Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to authorize the Township Manager to advertise the bid for the 2022 Asphalt (Supply Only). Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

28. Consideration to Approve Awarding the Bid for the 2022 Hot Mix Paving Program – Contract 1/2022 – The Following Bids Were Received and Opened on February 23, 2022

NAME	BASE BID 1	BASE BID 2	BASE BID 3
	Hempfield Streets	Hempfield Streets & Adamsburg Streets	Hempfield Streets, Adamsburg Streets & Hempfield Park
Derry Construction	\$1,586,305.00	\$1,781,754.50	\$1,878,699.50
A. Liberoni	\$1,740,199.00	\$1,948,067.20	\$2,035,600.20
Tresco Paving Corp.	\$1,773,400.00	\$1,984,520.00	\$2,083,120.00

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve Base Bid 3 and award the 2022 Hot Mix Paving Program – Contract 1/2022 to Derry Construction. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

29. Consideration to Approve the Following Emergency Service Applications as Members of the Hempfield Fire Department, to be effective Upon the Township Receiving a Physical Report from the Township Physician Stating that the Individual is Capable of Performing Activities Related to the Appointed Position and Successful Criminal History and Act 153 Clearance Requirements

Name	Position	Fire Station
Joseph Sandin	Sr. Active Firefighter	Adamsburg
Aidan Winters	Jr. to Sr. Active Firefighter	Carbon
Gabriel Myers	Jr. Firefighter	Carbon
Auston Cecconello	Jr. Firefighter	Grapeville
Michael Morales	Jr. Firefighter	Carbon to Grapeville
Korey Boggs, Jr.	Jr. Firefighter	Hannastown

Mr. Reese made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to approve the listed Emergency Service Applicants as members of the Hempfield Fire Department effective upon the completion of the listed requirements. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

30. Consideration to Authorize Ulery Architecture to Proceed with Construction Drawings and Bid Documents for Fire Headquarters (Former Sewage Building) and North Hempfield Station

Mr. Weimer made a motion seconded by Mr. Bretz to authorize Ulery Architecture to proceed with construction drawings and bid documents for Fire Headquarters and North Hempfield Station. Vote: 4-0. Motion carried.

N. OLD BUSINESS – NONE

O. NEW BUSINESS – NONE

P. PROCLAMATIONS

1. Ashley Bensus of Troop 26831

Q. SUPERVISORS COMMENTS

Mr. Bretz – Reported that he is happy that communication and level of service at West Point Fire Station has been restored to where it should be, he would like to continue and maintain the level of communication and transparency so that the volunteer fire service can serve the community. He feels that as long as everyone understands the common goal then everyone can strive together to meet it.

Mr. Weimer – Recognized the efforts put forth by West Point Fire Station to move forward and maintain their service and ensure public safety in that area.

R. ADJOURNMENT

The regularly scheduled meeting of the Hempfield Township Board of Supervisors was adjourned at 8:26 pm by Chairman, Mr. George C. Reese.

CHAIRMAN

SECRETARY